1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	Northern District of California
10	San Francisco Division
11	ISAIAH N. WILLIAMS, No. C 07-04464 CW (LB)
12	Plaintiff, v. ORDER RE: MOTION FILED AT ECF
13	NO. 124.
14	D. WILLIAMS,
15	Defendant.
16	/
17	The parties have filed back-and-forth individual letters about discovery disputes (even though
18	the court requires joint letters). Now Plaintiff filed (at 7:27 p.m. on a Friday night) an individual
19	administrative motion to strike part of one of those letters currently pending before the undersigned
20	(even though all discovery matters are referred to the undersigned).
21	Under all of the circumstances (including the referral to the undersigned, everyone's failure to
22	comply with the procedures, and a hearing set for next Thursday, February 28, 2013 at 11:30 a.m.),
23	the undersigned strongly urges Plaintiff to withdraw its motion to strike. The court sees no upside in
24	forcing a reply in a short time period given the pending hearing, and the parties need to try to work
25	things out here first before bothering the district court. That is the nature of a referral.
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.
27	Dated: February 22, 2013
28	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge

C 07-04464 CW (LB) ORDER